



AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_ Calendar No. \_\_\_\_\_

Purpose: To require a GAO report.

**IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES—118th Cong., 1st Sess.****S. 576**

To enhance safety requirements for trains transporting  
hazardous materials, and for other purposes.

Referred to the Committee on \_\_\_\_\_ and  
ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT intended to be proposed by Mr. BUDD to the  
amendment (No. \_\_\_\_\_) proposed by Ms. CANTWELL  
(for herself and Mr. VANCE)

Viz:

1 On page 49 of the amendment, between lines 3 and  
2 4, insert the following:

3 **SEC. 113. GAO REPORT ON ROADWAY WORKER PROTEC-**  
4 **TIONS.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the  
6 date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General  
7 of the United States shall—

8 (1) conduct a review of currently available tech-  
9 nologies for roadway workers (as defined in section  
10 214.7 of title 49, Code of Federal Regulations) with  
11 protection from the hazards of being struck by a

1 train or other on-track equipment in the United  
2 States; and

3 (2) submit to the Committee on Commerce,  
4 Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the  
5 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of  
6 the House of Representatives a report that summa-  
7 rizes the results of the review conducted under sub-  
8 paragraph (a), including recommendations, as the  
9 Comptroller General considers appropriate.

10 (b) CONTENTS.—The report submitted under sub-  
11 section (a)(2) shall—

12 (1) describe the frequency, type, and causes of  
13 incidences within the rail right-of-way associated  
14 with roadway workers being struck by a train or  
15 other on-track equipment, based on available data,  
16 including whether individuals were acting in compli-  
17 ance with the applicable rules, policies, procedures,  
18 and practices;

19 (2) describe the types of technologies referenced  
20 in subsection (a)(1) that are designed to reduce risk  
21 of injury and death when deployed as a secondary  
22 warning system to the standard operating proce-  
23 dures of a rail carrier, including for each tech-  
24 nology—

25 (A) the primary function and features;

1 (B) the maturity, implementation readi-  
2 ness, and user experience;

3 (C) the frequency of implementation;

4 (D) any costs, including up front and on-  
5 going maintenance costs, of the technology and  
6 other costs associated with the technology;

7 (E) safety benefits associated with the  
8 technology relative to current rules, policies,  
9 procedures, and practices; and

10 (F) ability to enhance protections for road-  
11 way workers without negatively impacting oper-  
12 ational or network efficiencies;

13 (3) discuss the potential for such technologies  
14 to reduce or eliminate roadway worker accidents oc-  
15 ccurring within the rail right-of-way;

16 (4) describe any challenges or barriers to adop-  
17 tion of such safety technologies, including oper-  
18 ational, technical, and network efficiency challenges  
19 or barriers; and

20 (5) assess the cost-beneficial nature of utilizing  
21 such technology as a secondary warning system.