AMENDMENT NO	Calendar No
Purpose: To improve the bill.	
IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITE	D STATES-119th Cong., 1st Sess.
S. 2	666
To direct the Federal Commun lish a taskforce on unlar purposes.	ications Commission to estab- wful robocalls, and for other
Referred to the Committee on ordered to	
Ordered to lie on the t	able and to be printed
AMENDMENT intended to b	e proposed by Mr. Luján and Mr. Marke
Viz:	
1 At the appropriate place	e, insert the following:
2 SEC ROBOCALL MITIO	GATION DATABASE.
3 (a) Definitions.—In	this section:
4 (1) Commission	.—The term "Commission"
5 means the Federal Cor	nmunications Commission.
6 (2) Robocall 1	MITIGATION DATABASE.—The
7 term "Robocall Mitiga	tion Database" has the mean-
8 ing given the term in	section 64.6300 of title 47,
9 Code of Federal Regul	ations, or any successor regu-
10 lation.	

What and it

THE DESIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT

## BARE R

Todayer the Colored Volume color of a commission of such Star a new more than all of the Color of the Star special color partyres

er maticement of the 1908st of the 1908st.

All the appropriate solutions are proported to

general and the entire of the

ATT BEAUX DOLL ATTEM TO SCHOOL CO.

The other the office We meeting of more offered and

region acasegorano como consecución de discolar de la confección de la con

1100421 1011

24

provider—

1	(3) UNLAWFUL ROBOCALL.—The term "unlaw-
2	ful robocall" has the meaning given the term in sec-
3	tion $2(a)$ .
4	(b) Bond Requirement.—
5	(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall issue
6	rules to require that, subject to the other provisions
7	of this section, before a provider may file a certifi-
8	cation to the Robocall Mitigation Database, the pro-
9	vider shall post a bond in an amount that is not
10	more than \$100,000, if the Commission determines
11	that posting such a bond is necessary to preserve the
12	integrity of the Robocall Mitigation Database.
13	(2) Excepted providers.—
14	(A) IN GENERAL.—In issuing rules under
15	paragraph (1), the Commission shall establish
16	criteria to exempt a provider from the require-
17	ment to post a bond described in that para-
18	graph if that requirement, as applied to the
19	provider, is not necessary to deter unlawful
20	robocall activity.
21	(B) Considerations.—In establishing
22	criteria under subparagraph (A), the Commis-
23	sion shall require consideration of whether a

1	(i) is registered with the Commission
2	under section 64.1195 of title 47, Code of
3	Federal Regulations (or any successor reg-
4	ulation) and makes contributions under
5	section 254(d) of the Communications Act
6	of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 254(d));
7	(ii) holds a certificate of authority, li-
8	cense, or registration with a State public
9	utility commission;
10	(iii) is an issuer, the securities of
11	which are listed on a national securities ex-
12	change; and
13	(iv) otherwise presents indicia of being
14	a bona fide, established communications
15	service provider, such that requiring the
16	provider to post a bond under paragraph
17	(1) would impose unnecessary burdens
18	without materially improving enforcement
19	of section 227 of the Communications Act
20	of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 227).
21	(c) Implementation.—In implementing this sec-
22	tion, the Commission shall—
23	(1) require the posting of a bond under para-
24	graph (1) from providers that do not demonstrate—
25	(A) legitimate, ongoing operations:

-sv - 2 1-0/pt

1	(B) regulatory oversight sufficient to en-
2	sure accountability; or
3	(C) the ability to pay fines or forfeitures
4	imposed by the Commission or other govern-
5	mental enforcement authorities with respect to
6	violations of Federal or State laws or regula-
7	tions;
8	(2) establish categorical exemptions for identifi-
9	able classes of legitimate providers that satisfy the
10	criteria established under subsection (b)(2); and
11	(3) minimize administrative and financial bur-
12	dens on compliant, established, and regulated pro-
13	viders while ensuring effective enforcement of section
14	227 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C.
15	227).