October 19, 2021

The Honorable David Pekoske
Administrator
Transportation Security Administration
6595 Springfield Center Dr.
Springfield, VA 22150

Dear Administrator Pekoske:

We write to express concern about the recent announcement that the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) intends to impose new prescriptive cybersecurity requirements on the rail, rail transit, and aviation industries through Security Directives. We encourage you to reconsider whether using emergency authority is appropriate absent an immediate threat. With the benefit of public notice and comment through the rulemaking process, TSA may avoid any unintended consequences that disrupt existing effective cybersecurity practices or transportation operations.

We recognize that circumstances sometimes demand that TSA act quickly using emergency authority. Nevertheless, the very importance of effective cybersecurity for critical infrastructure, such as the rail, rail transit, and aviation systems, counsels against acting rashly in the absence of a genuine emergency. Prescriptive requirements may be out of step with current practices and limit the affected industries’ ability to respond to evolving threats, thereby lessening security. Further, prescriptive requirements may have unintended consequences, such as imposing unnecessary operational delays at a time of unprecedented congestion in the nation’s supply chain. Additionally, allowing outside experts to comment will lead to more effective and sustainable cybersecurity actions and measures. A more deliberate approach will reduce the risks and increase the benefits.

The timeline of cybersecurity actions undertaken by the Biden Administration since May belies the notion that emergency action is necessary for the rail and aviation industries. The White House released the “National Security Memorandum on Improving Cybersecurity for Critical Infrastructure Control Systems” at the end of July, envisioning and urging a collaborative process between industry and government. Rather than engaging the experts in rail, rail transit, and aviation sectors, however, TSA is now embarking on a unilateral approach that excludes input under the confusing guise of an emergency threat to disparate modes of transportation, even though five months have elapsed since the Colonial Pipeline ransomware attack.

TSA should adopt a more collaborative approach that can reliably enhance cybersecurity in the rail, rail transit, and aviation industries. Rather than prescriptive requirements that may not enhance capabilities to address future threats, TSA should consider performance standards that
set goals for cybersecurity while enabling businesses to meet those goals. If a determination is made to proceed with specific mandates, the notice and comment process would at least allow for thoughtful consideration of industry practices and concerns. Whatever the path forward, TSA must be responsive to inquiries and mindful of potential harms and adverse effects on practices that are working well.

We look forward to your response and to continuing to work with you to enhance the security of transportation.

Sincerely,

Roger F. Wicker  
Ranking Member

Cynthia M. Lummis  
U.S. Senator

Deb Fischer  
U.S. Senator

John Thune  
U.S. Senator

Todd Young  
U.S. Senator