BOGER WICKER MISSISSIPPI TED CRUZ, TEXAS DEB FISCHER, NEBRASKA JERRY MORAN, KANSAS DAN SULLIVAN, ALASKA DEAN HELLER, NEVADA RON JOHNSON, WISCONSIN SHELLEY MOORE CAPITO, WEST VIRGINIA. TAMMY DUCKWORTH, ILLINOIS CORY GARDNER, COLORADO TODD YOUNG, INDIANA

MARIA CANTWELL, WASHINGTON AMY KLOBUCHAR, MINNESOTA RICHARD BLUMENTHAL, CONNECTICUT BRIAN SCHATZ, HAWAII EDWARD MARKEY, MASSACHUSETTS CORY BOOKER, NEW JERSEY TOM UDALL, NEW MEXICO TAMMY BALDWIN, WISCON MAGGIE HASSAN, NEW HAMPSHIRE CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, NEVADA

NICK BOSSI, STAFF DIRECTOR

## United States Senate

## COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6125

WEBSITE: http://commerce.senate.gov

April 11, 2017

Ms. Ginger S. Evans Commissioner Chicago Department of Aviation Chicago O'Hare International Airport Chicago, IL 60666

## Dear Commissioner Evans:

We are writing about the forcible removal of a passenger from United Express Flight 3411 from Chicago-O'Hare (ORD) to Louisville (SDF) on April 9, 2017. The images and emerging accounts of this incident are very disturbing. The last thing a paying airline passenger should expect is a physical altercation with law enforcement personnel after boarding, especially one that could likely have been avoided.

We recognize the importance of having passengers comply with the lawful instructions of airline crews and law enforcement, but it is hard to believe that some combination of better planning, training, communication, or additional incentives would not have mitigated this particular incident or avoided it altogether.

We ask that you provide the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation with a full accounting of this matter, as well as respond to the following specific questions:

- 1. Your office has publicly stated that this incident was not in accordance with standard operating procedure, and the officer involved in this incident has been suspended. What are the policies and procedures for your security and law enforcement personnel regarding this type of situation, and did all of your employees adhere to them in this case? Please describe any policies or procedures that were violated in this instance.
- 2. What explanation did airline personnel provide to your security and law enforcement personnel regarding the request to remove the passenger?
- 3. Did the passenger resist removal passively, or did he take actions that the security and law enforcement personnel considered threatening? If so, what were those actions?

Commissioner Ginger S. Evans April 11, 2017 Page 2

Please provide your response as soon as possible, but by no later than April 20, 2017. Thank you for your cooperation and prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

JOHN THUNE

Chairman

ROY BLUNT

Chairman,

Subcommittee on

Aviation Operations,

Safety, and Security

BILL NELSON Ranking Member

MARIA CANTWELL

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on

Aviation Operations, Safety, and Security

ROY BLUNT, MISSOURI TED CRUZ, TEXAS DEB FISCHER, NEBRASKA JERRY MORAN, KANSAS DAN SULLIVAN, ALASKA DEAN HELLER, NEVADA MIKE LEE, UTAH RON JOHNSON WISCONSIN SHELLEY MOORE CAPITO, WEST VIRGINIA
CORY GARDNER, COLORADO

TAMMY DUCKWORTH, ILLINOIS
MAGGIE HASSAN, NEW HAMPS TODD YOUNG, INDIANA

MARIA CANTWELL, WASHINGTON AMY KLOBUCHAR, MINNESOTA RICHARD BLUMENTHAL, CONNECTICUT BRIAN SCHATZ, HAWAII EDWARD MARKEY, MASSACHUSETTS CORY BOOKER, NEW JERSEY TOM UDALL, NEW MEXICO GARY PETERS, MICHIGAN TAMMY BALDWIN, WISCONSIN CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, NEVADA

NICK ROSSI, STAFF DIRECTOR

## United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6125

WEBSITE: http://commerce.senate.gov

April 11, 2017

Mr. Oscar Munoz Chief Executive Officer United Airlines, Inc. 233 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

Dear Mr. Munoz:

We are writing about the forcible removal of a passenger from United Express Flight 3411 from Chicago-O'Hare (ORD) to Louisville (SDF) on April 9, 2017.

The images and emerging accounts of this incident are very disturbing. The last thing a paving airline passenger should expect is a physical altercation with law enforcement personnel after boarding, especially one that could likely have been avoided. We recognize the importance of having passengers comply with lawful crew instructions, but it is hard to believe that some combination of better planning, training, communication, or additional incentives would not have mitigated this incident or avoided it altogether. To date, United Airlines' (UA) explanation of the incident has been unsatisfactory, and appears to underestimate the public anger about this incident. Accordingly, we request that you provide the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation with a full accounting of this incident, as well as respond to the following specific questions:

- When did UA first become aware of the need to subject passengers to involuntary 1. denied boarding or removal from this flight? Specifically, did UA decide to seat the four airline employees on "must travel" passes after the flight check-in deadline had passed? If so, why was UA unaware of the need to transport these employees earlier (i.e., before passengers were permitted to board)? Did an unanticipated change in crew scheduling or some unforeseen contingency require these airline personnel to travel on this aircraft even after it was fully boarded?
- In light of the foreseeable dissatisfaction of passengers being removed from a flight 2. that was already boarded in order to accommodate airline personnel, what other options, if any, were explored to get the airline personnel to their destination? For example, did UA explore options for these employees to travel to a nearby airport before removing passengers from this flight?

- 3. As compared to passengers who are denied boarding before enplanement, are there different policies or procedures in place to "bump" a passenger who has already been seated?
- 4. Does UA consider a case where employees or crew members involuntarily displace a ticketed passenger who has met the check-in deadline an "oversold" situation under UA's contract of carriage?
- 5. How often are security or law enforcement personnel called upon to remove a passenger subjected to involuntary bumping after having been seated on an aircraft?
- 6. How was the need to remove passengers communicated to those on board the aircraft?
- 7. What specific incentives were offered for voluntary rebooking? Did UA increase those incentives on-board the aircraft when the passenger in question objected to removal in an attempt to diffuse the situation? Does UA limit the incentives that may be offered in these circumstances? If so, how?
- 8. What assurances, if any, were made to passengers subjected to involuntary denied boarding or removal from this aircraft regarding arrival at their intended destination? How were these assurances communicated? Did UA explore options for a same-day arrival at the intended destination on flights operated by other airlines? If not, why not?
- 9. What interline agreements does UA currently maintain with other airlines to deal with voluntary or involuntarily denied boarding situations? In the past calendar year (2016), how many times were these interline agreements used to provide alternate accommodations to either voluntarily or involuntarily displaced passengers?
- 10. In this instance, how did UA select the passengers who were ultimately subjected to involuntary denied boarding or removal? What are UA's policies for selecting passengers for involuntary denied boarding or removal? How are these policies communicated to passengers?

Mr. Oscar Munoz April 11, 2017 Page 3

Please provide your response as soon as possible, but by no later than April 20, 2017. Thank you for your cooperation and prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

JOHN THUNE

Chairman

ROY BLUNT

Chairman,

Subcommittee on

Aviation Operations,

Safety, and Security

BILL NELSON Ranking Member

MARIA CANTWELL

Ranking Member,

Subcommittee on

Aviation Operations,

Safety, and Security